PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION
AGENDA

Wednesday, February 12th, 2020, 6:00 p.m.
City Hall Council Chambers

*** Call to Order / Roll Call

*** Approval of January 8th, 2020 Meeting Minutes

PUBLIC HEARINGS

ITEM ONE:  CASE # 20-00700001:  Request by Jennifer M. Bass, property owner, for a Conditional Use Permit to allow for a short-term rental as an accessory use to the Single Family Residential (SFR-A) Zone District. The property is located at 301 West Victoria Avenue; more particularly described as Lot 3, Block 11, Bubany-Burke Northside Addition.

ITEM TWO:  CASE # 20-00600001:  Request by JagWest, LLC and the City of Gallup, property owners, for the Rezoning of Tract 1B, 1C and 2, North and South Portion of Tract 1A, Mentmore West Subdivision Unit 1 and Two Unplatted Tracts of Land FROM Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) Zone District TO Industrial (I) Zone District. The properties are generally located west of County Road 1 and Chee Dodge Boulevard; Containing a total of 65.8575 acres M/L.

INFORMATION ITEMS

ITEM THREE:  City Council Actions Taken

ITEM FOUR:  January 2020 Building Permit Activity Report

*** Open Floor

*** Adjourn
The regular meeting of the Planning and Zoning Commission was called to order at 6:00 p.m. by Chairman Kent Wilson.

Upon roll call, the following were present:

- Chairman K. Wilson
- Commissioner K. Mackenzie-Chavez
- Commissioner J. Dooley
- Commissioner F. Pawlowski
- Commissioner M. Long
- Commissioner J. Cresto

Upon roll call, the following were absent:

- Commissioner L. Miller

Chairman Wilson asked if any Commissioner had a conflict of interest for any agenda item and if so to recuse him/herself prior to discussing the particular item.

Upon roll call, the following votes were:

- Chairman K. Wilson (No)
- Commissioner K. Mackenzie-Chavez (No)
- Commissioner J. Dooley (No)
- Commissioner F. Pawlowski (No)
- Commissioner M. Long (No)
- Commissioner J. Cresto (No)

Presented to the Chairman and Commissioners for their approval were the minutes of the December 11th, 2019 regular meeting. Commissioner Dooley motioned for approval of the minutes as presented. Seconded by Commissioner Pawlowski. Motion Carried.

Upon roll call, the following votes were:

- Commissioner J. Dooley (Yes)
- Commissioner F. Pawlowski (Yes)
- Commissioner K. Mackenzie-Chavez (Yes)
- Commissioner M. Long (Yes)
- Commissioner J. Cresto (Yes)

*Chairman Wilson administered the oath required by State Law for public forum.*

Chairman Wilson stated anyone wishing to speak limit their comments to three minutes and not to duplicate a previous point; they will have one opportunity to testify.
ITEM ONE: CASE # 19-00300002: Request by Joe DiGregorio, Six D., Inc, property owner, for Final Plat approval of a Minor Subdivision; Christine Subdivision Replat No. 1 of Block 4, Lebeck-Atkins Subdivision. Said property is generally located Northeast of Marguerite Street and Aztec Avenue (209 Marguerite Street, 1808 & 1820 W. Aztec Avenue); containing 3.8132 acres M/L.

CB Strain began by using the overhead projector to display the GIS Map showing the property’s location. The property was originally designated as Block 4 in the Lebeck-Atkins Subdivision and was later split by deed east to west (creating a tract north and south within Block 4). CB spoke about how the current subdivision regulations wouldn’t allow a split by deed whereas the property owners would be required to go through the subdivision process. CB used the overhead projector to display the final plat explaining how the property currently consisted of two (2) tracts of land. Being that there were three (3) buildings located on the southern tract the property owner wanted to subdivide into four (4) lots whereas each building would be on its own separate lot and have one (1) lot left over for future development. CB stated that all four (4) lots met the minimum dimensional requirements for the Heavy Commercial (HC) zone district whereas he recommended approval of the minor subdivision. CB mentioned how the Gallup Taskforce (GTF) reviewed the request and their comments were included in the Commission’s agenda packets. CB stated that members of the GTF were present this evening if the Commission had any questions to ask them.

Commissioner Dooley asked for clarification on the location because she thought it was for the Lowe’s downtown on Aztec Avenue. CB stated that the subdivision was located on Marguerite Street and Aztec Avenue (across Gallup Central High School). He explained how the lots contained the gas station, car wash and an Indian Health Service office. Commissioner Dooley noticed how the Electric Department had comments (Page 1-7) about access running through a lot and wanted more information on that. Marita Joe, Senior Electrical Engineer approached the podium and spoke about the concerns she had of the replat. She used the overhead projector to reference the final plat and pointed to where the electrical service was located (northwest of proposed Lot 4). Ms. Joe was unsure as to how it was being served until they traced it out and discovered that it came to the meter within the City street. Knowing that the meter was located in the street the Electric Department made a recommendation to inform the Shop ‘N Save property owner that the gas station’s service line was going through their property. Being that this was the only subdivision submitted for this area in quite some time, the Electric Department requested the property owner to dedicate an easement this plat for the service line that serves the gas station. Commissioner Dooley clarified that the service line of the gas station (proposed Lot 4) ran on another property where the Shop ‘N Save grocery store was located. Ms. Joe responded that was correct and reminded Commissioner Dooley that the electric meter was privately owned by the gas station.

Commissioner Mackenzie-Chavez asked CB where the frontage was located for the proposed Lot 2. CB stated that Lot 2’s frontage was off Aztec Avenue. Commissioner Mackenzie-Chavez asked if the property owners were to develop Lot 2 would they have to meet setbacks from Aztec Avenue; just concerned because of the odd shape of the lot. CB responded yes; each zone district had its own required setbacks for the front, side and rear.
CB used the overhead projector to point to Lot 4 on the final plat and noted how it didn’t meet the required setbacks because it was encroaching past the property line. CB spoke about how the gas station would be considered a non-conforming structure, but if it ever went away the new built structure would be required to comply with the current setbacks. Chairman Wilson asked if anything ever changed on Lot 4 with the gas station, would they be able to rebuild a similar structure. CB responded stating that they could build a similar structure but it would have to meet the setbacks whereas it would probably be smaller than the existing building. CB recommended that the gas station be left alone unless there was a catastrophic event or if the building needed to be torn down for whatever reason.

Joe DiGregorio, property owner approached the podium and introduced himself. Mr. DiGregorio stated that he represented Six D. Inc. and explained the meaning of his company name. He mentioned how his father acquired a lot of property and how he did different things with each of them. Mr. DiGregorio spoke about how they were cleaning up deeds and realized that they had four (4) different properties that needed to be separated, which was why they were replatting.

Chairman Wilson asked the Commissioners if they had any questions for Mr. DiGregorio. There were none.

Chairman Wilson asked if there was anyone wishing to speak against this case. There were none.

Chairman Wilson acknowledged that there were several department heads present this evening. He wanted to remind them to submit GTF comments that contained more substance other than, “No comment.” Chairman Wilson stated that he would rather prefer a statement about how there were no issues with the request or if the infrastructure was satisfactory to support the request. Commissioner Dooley stated that she agreed with Chairman Wilson. She spoke about how she wondered if adequate time was given to actually review the request. Commissioner Pawlowski added how he understood, “No comment” to mean that the request had been thoroughly reviewed and there were no issues. Chairman Wilson stated that he knew all the departments did a good job, but would like their comments to be more thorough to help the Commission.

Chairman Wilson asked the Commissioners if they had any further questions or comments. There were none.

Chairman Wilson stated that the hearing was closed.

Motion to approve or deny the request for Case Number 2019-00300002. Commissioner Mackenzie-Chavez motioned for approval of Item One. Seconded by Commissioner Pawlowski. Motion Carried.

Upon roll call, the following votes were:

Commissioner K. Mackenzie-Chavez (Yes)
Commissioner F. Pawlowski (Yes)
Commissioner J. Dooley (Yes)

Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting
January 8th, 2020
Page 3 of 10
ITEM TWO:  CASE # 20-00900001:  City initiated request to amend Title 10 “Land Development Standards” of the Municipal Code of the City of Gallup Section 10-2-B-a “Residential” and Section 10-2-B-c “Non-Residential”; Tables 10-2-2, 10-2-4, 10-2-6, 10-2-8, 10-2-19 and 10-2-21. Add side street yard requirements for corner lots within the Rural Residential (RR), Single-Family Residential (SFR-A, B & C), Multi-Family Residential Low (MFRL), Multi-Family Residential Medium (MFRM), General Commercial (GC) and Heavy Commercial (HC) Zone Districts. Establish ten foot (10’) side street yard setbacks for SFR-A & B, MFRL, MFRM and GC and fifteen foot (15’) side street yard setbacks for RR, SFR-C and HC. This item will go before City Council for final approval on January 28, 2020.

CB began by reminding the Commission how the Land Development Standards (LDS) went through many months of review when the zoning ordinance and regulations were updated in 2018. It has come to CB’s attention that they failed to carry over the side street yard setbacks for corner lots from the old code to the new code. Those requirements need to be added to the LDS because it was a safety issue. CB continued by explaining how corner lots have two (2) frontages and how structures are setback from the street in case a vehicle leaves the pavement; it creates a buffer zone to protect the structure. The setbacks also provide somewhat of a noise buffer from the street as well as providing clear space and open space. Whenever there’s a corner lot both streets surrounding the property need those setbacks in place to ensure safety and act as a buffer. CB explained how the side street yard setbacks were in the old code, but they missed carrying them over into the new code. He emphasized how side street yard setbacks were typically included in any zoning code across the country. CB spoke about how he worked with the consultants, Bohannan Huston to research the side street yard setbacks and compare to other municipalities. In their research they found that a typical side street yard setback was ten feet (10’) in most districts whereas they recommended reducing the distance for Single-Family Residential zone districts. The old code had fifteen feet (15’) for the RS-1 and RS-2 zone districts (now known as SFR) and no side street setbacks for RAD, RATH and RM3 (now known as Multi-Family.) The text amendment presented this evening will establish side street yard setbacks for the following zone districts as follows:

- Rural Residential (RR) zone district fifteen feet (15’) because those were larger lots having a minimum lot size of one (1) acre and had deeper setbacks.
- Single-Family Residential (SFR-A & B) zone districts ten feet (10’) as opposed to the old code where it was fifteen feet (15’).
- Single-Family Residential (SFR-C) zone district fifteen feet (15’), which remained the same from the old code being that those were typically larger lots having deeper setbacks.
- Multi-Family Residential Low (MFRL) zone district for single-family, duplexes and townhouses ten feet (10’).
- Multi-Family Residential Medium (MFRM) zone district for townhouses and multi-family (apartments) ten feet (10’).
- General Commercial (GC) zone district ten feet (10’).
- Heavy Commercial (HC) zone district is fifteen feet (15’).
CB pointed out how properties within the General and Heavy Commercial were mostly taken up by parking whereas they already had a large distance established from the side street. However, establishing these side street yard setbacks will prevent a design from locating parking in the rear while not having side street setbacks. CB explained how as they worked more with the new code they would find things that were missed; just like the last text amendment that was presented to the Commission. CB reminded the Commission how it was the second text amendment coming before them since the LDS was updated in August 2018. CB recommended approval of Resolution No. RP2020-2 to provide safety for corner lots.

Commissioner Long asked CB how he determined which was the front yard and side yard on a corner lot. CB stated that it depended on the address street which would serve as the front yard setback. There was a brief discussion between staff and Commissioners on how some properties don’t have their front yard setback according to their address. CB mentioned how the subdivision design played a big part in determining where the front and side yard setbacks were designated. He gave the example of a fifty-foot (50’) by one hundred-foot (100’) lot where it would be best to use the fifty-foot (50’) for the frontage and one hundred foot (100’) for the side yard. If not, the lot wouldn’t be able to meet the setbacks in the rear or the front. Commissioner Long asked CB if the property owner could choose which was their front and side yard. CB stated yes, however the Planning Department would have to first verify that it met the front, side street, side interior and rear setbacks. Commissioner Long just wanted to ensure that square shaped lots that had the same length on each side gave the property owner the option to choose which frontage they would prefer. CB confirmed that they could if they met the minimum requirements.

Commissioner Cresto asked CB why the other residential zone districts (MHP, MXN and MXC) weren’t included in the text amendment to establish side street yard setbacks. CB first explained how the text amendment came about when a building permit was submitted for a corner lot and they noticed how they didn’t carry the side street setbacks from the old code. CB continued by explaining how the Mobile Home Park (MHP), Mixed-Use Neighborhood (MXN) and Mixed-Use Center (MXC) zone districts weren’t included in the revision because they were setup in a way that took care of the side street setbacks. For example, the MXN and MXC zone districts are located within the older areas of town where they have smaller setbacks. The setback that’s required already carries for the whole property which was why it wasn’t included. Commissioner Cresto pointed out how Table 10-2-19 for the General Commercial zone district listed the front setback as five feet (5’) and the side street setback as ten feet (10’) which he didn’t feel was consistent. Commissioner Cresto asked CB why the side would need to be setback more. CB explained how the consultants had recommended the ten feet (10’), but if the Commission wanted to reduce the side street setback to five feet (5’) for General Commercial he would agree to the revision. Heavy Commercial however would need to keep the side street setback at fifteen feet (15’). Commissioner Cresto asked CB if making that revision would conflict with any safety issues in the General Commercial zone districts. CB responded that commercial properties generally had their parking areas to take up space so it would take care of itself. Commissioner Long clarified that the text amendment was only for corner lots. CB responded yes. Commissioner Long stated that he agreed with the revision of reducing the side street setback for the General Commercial zone district.
Commissioner Cresto made a Motion to reduce the side street yard setback for the General Commercial zone district from ten feet (10’) to five feet (5’) for the text amendment presented. Seconded by Commissioner Pawlowski. Motion Carried.

Upon roll call, the following votes were:

- Commissioner J. Cresto (Yes)
- Commissioner F. Pawlowski (Yes)
- Commissioner K. Mackenzie-Chavez (Yes)
- Commissioner J. Dooley (Yes)
- Commissioner M. Long (Yes)

Chairman Wilson asked CB if it was practical for the townhouses in MFRM and MFRL zone districts to have a minimum of ten feet (10’) for the side street yard setbacks. CB responded yes. Chairman Wilson asked CB if there would be a sidewalk between the structures of the street at ten feet (10’). CB responded that the sidewalk would be on the other side of the property line and not within the setback. CB emphasized how the setbacks wouldn’t affect the space where the pedestrian infrastructure was located; the side street yard setbacks were just to setback the building from the property line.

Commissioner Long asked CB what the side street setbacks for Single Family Residential was in the old code and if it was still consistent. CB stated that RS-1 and RS-2 (now known as SFR) were fifteen feet (15’) in the old code. Commissioner Long asked what the Multi-Family side street setbacks were in the old code. CB explained how the old code didn’t have side street setbacks established for RAD, RATH and RM3 (now known as Multi-Family) whereas the old code lacked these safety precautions. CB mentioned how they’ve had vehicles run into apartment buildings in the past whereas there was a need to establish side street setbacks for safety reasons.

Chairman Wilson asked if there was anyone wishing to speak to this case.

Angela Olive approached the podium and introduced herself. She informed the Commission that she was representing Bob Olive in regards to the property he recently purchased at Cerrito Drive and Red Rock Drive/Ridgecrest Avenue. Mrs. Olive stated that the odd shaped lot was located on a corner lot. She continued by explaining how prior to the property purchase Mr. Olive had his architect contact Stan Henderson, Public Works Director to speak about the zoning regulations. After speaking to Mr. Henderson they moved forward with their plans according to the regulations at that time. Mrs. Olive stated that they haven’t started building yet because they just got the basics going; they’re working the dirt and everything else. She mentioned how the back of the property goes right off to the area of Ford Canyon Park. Mrs. Olive stated that the plans they currently have are according to the existing zone district’s dimensional requirements of five feet (5’) as the side setback. If the text amendment were to be approved this evening they would have to change their plans to reflect the ten feet (10’), which Mrs. Olive feels would really encroach into their plans of the new house. Mrs. Olive spoke about how Mr. Olive spent a lot of money on the architect so she requested that the Commission grant a variance. Mrs. Olive felt that the property would qualify for a variance.
being that lot was an odd shape and the fact that they already had the plans drawn up prior to the text amendment. She asked the Commission if they could grant a variance of five feet (5’) for the side street setback or be grandfathered in after the text amendment was approved.

Commissioner Pawlowski stated that he assumed the new structure would be a single-family home. Mrs. Olive stated that it was actually going to be a multi-family home being that it was permissive in the Multi-Family Residential Low (MFRL) zone district. Mrs. Olive stated that it was going to be a duplex with garages and pointed out how it was a small piece of property. Mrs. Olive informed the Commission that she had copies of the plans with her and could show them if they pleased. Commissioner Pawlowski stated that he just wanted to know what kind of structure it would be.

Commissioner Pawlowski summarized what he believed she was requesting from the Commission which was to be relieved from the ten foot (10’) requirement and granted the five foot (5’) for the side street setback off Ridgecrest Avenue. He continued by stating that he understood the rationale behind her request, but didn’t feel it was a good idea because he was familiar with the busy traffic of the nearby elementary school. Commissioner Pawlowski stated that he wasn’t saying that an additional five feet (5’) would prevent serious types of accidents, but it would be nice to have ten feet (10’) for safety reasons. Mrs. Olive stated that she knew there were plans to put in a drainage along the corner where the street turns going down the property and out to the canyon, but she was unsure as to how that would affect that corner.

Commissioner Dooley commented on how she was unsure if this was the appropriate time that Mrs. Olive’s issue should be addressed. CB asked Mrs. Olive to see the plans she had. After reviewing the plans Mrs. Olive had in hand, CB informed the Commission that no permits had been submitted to the Planning Department nor have any reviews taken place on that particular site. CB stated that this was the first time he had seen or heard of it. Mrs. Olive stated that she had the plans that were sent to them from the City of Gallup and showed them to CB. CB stated that the City of Gallup doesn’t do plans and after looking at the document he verified that the copy she was referring to was the SFR dimensional standards from the LDS (sent by the City to the property owner.) CB again emphasized how the plans had not been submitted nor reviewed by the City Planning Department. Mrs. Olive stated that the architect based everything off the zoning regulations that were in place at that time. CB pointed out how the plans Mrs. Olive had were stamped and drafted by their architect on July 10, 2019, which was after the new code was adopted in August 2018. So when the architect drew up the plans the new regulations were already in place. CB also pointed out how the new code (adopted in August 2018) didn’t have side street yard setbacks for corner lots. Mrs. Olive stated that she understood that there were no regulations for side street yard setbacks, which was why their architect drew up their plans having all side setbacks (side street and side interior) as five feet (5’). Mrs. Olive stated that on Table 10-2-6 in the Land Development Standards it listed the side setbacks as five feet (5’) in the Multi-Family Residential Low (MFRL) zone district. CB clarified that the side setback currently listed in the code was only for the side interior setback, which was the setback from another lot; not from a corner street. CB stated that the text amendment presented this evening will establish the side “street” setback as ten feet (10’) and carry the side “interior” setback to five feet (5’) as it currently stands. CB stated that there were currently no side “street” setback requirements because no one has submitted for a permit located on a corner lot since the code’s been updated in August 2018. Chairman Wilson stated that the case before the Commission this evening was to make
a decision on the text amendment whereas Mrs. Olive’s request wasn’t part of that action. Chairman Wilson informed Mrs. Olive that she needed to go through the process with the Planning Department and if a petition was necessary she could come back before the Commission. Chairman Wilson stated that all the appropriate paper work needed to be submitted whereas the request would go through the appropriate channels. Chairman Wilson asked CB if that was correct. CB asked Mrs. Olive when they were planning on submitting plans. Mrs. Olive responded that she wasn’t sure. CB stated that this text amendment was to establish side street yard setbacks and after it went before the Planning and Zoning Commission it would be presented to the City Council for final approval at the January 28, 2020 meeting. Once the text amendment went through the approval process it was then the law. CB informed Mrs. Olive that if the Planning Department hasn’t received their permit application to review prior to those dates the new rule would apply. Curtis Hayes, City Attorney pointed out how there was also a thirty (30) day appeal period before the text amendment could become effective. CB stated that was correct. CB explained that whenever an ordinance was adopted there was an appeal period after City Council approved it whereas there was an additional thirty (30) days. So if City Council approves the text amendment at their January 28th meeting the new requirements will become effective thirty (30) days after that (if no one appeals it.) Commissioner Pawlowski asked CB who could possibly appeal the text amendment. CB responded that anyone could appeal. CB recommended that the Olives submit their permit application and plans to the Planning Department prior to those deadlines that way they can apply the current requirements, which would meet their needs. Mrs. Olive stated that she understood and thanked the Commission for their time.

Commissioner Long asked Madam Secretary, Nikki Lee to show the LDS page with the Single-Family Residential’s new dimensional standards being discussed this evening. The overhead projector was used to display (the revised) page 10 of the LDS. (A copy is attached hereto, marked as Exhibit A and made a part of these official minutes). Commissioner Long directed the Commission to see the highlighted area in yellow which was the new side “street” yard setbacks being added this evening. Table 10-2-4 refers to the letter “f” as the side “street” setback and by looking at Figure 10-2-2 it shows where that setback is located. CB pointed to Figure 10-2-2 showing where the lot area, lot frontage, lot width, front setback, side interior setback, side street setback and rear setback were located.

Chairman Wilson asked the Commissioners if they had any further questions. There were none.

Chairman Wilson stated that the hearing was closed.

Motion to approve or deny the request for Case Number 2020-00900001. Commissioner Dooley motioned for approval (positive recommendation) of Item Two with the recommended revision to the General Commercial zone district. Seconded by Commissioner Pawlowski. Motion Carried.

Upon roll call, the following votes were:

Commissioner J. Dooley (Yes)
Commissioner F. Pawlowski (Yes)
Commissioner K. Mackenzie-Chavez (Yes)
The positive recommendation will be presented to City Council on January 28, 2020 for final approval.

**ITEM THREE: CASE # 20-01100001:** Annual Open Meetings Act, Resolution No. RP2020-01. The Open Meetings Act requires that the Planning and Zoning Commission (at least) annually review what constitutes reasonable notice to the public of its meetings. Accordingly, the resolution is presented to the Board for consideration and approval.

CB began by explaining how every year the Commission was required to adopt a new Open Meetings Act resolution to adopt meeting times, what was considered reasonable notice and special and emergency meeting requirements. CB continued by explaining how they were following the same rules they have followed previous years. If the Commission didn’t want to make any changes such as, changing the days or times of the meetings CB recommended approval of the presented resolution.

Commissioner Mackenzie-Chavez reminded the Commission how they had previously changed the meeting times from 7 p.m. to 6 p.m. and she wanted to know if the 6 p.m. meeting worked for all the Commissioners. Chairman Wilson and Commissioner Dooley both stated that they preferred the 6 p.m. meetings.

Chairman Wilson asked the Commissioners if they had any further questions. There were none.

Chairman Wilson stated that the hearing was closed.

Motion to approve or deny the request for Case Number 2020-01100001. Commissioner Long motioned for approval of Item Three. Seconded by Commissioner Pawlowski. Motion Carried.

Upon roll call, the following votes were:

- Commissioner M. Long (Yes)
- Commissioner F. Pawlowski (Yes)
- Commissioner K. Mackenzie-Chavez (Yes)
- Commissioner J. Dooley (Yes)
- Commissioner J. Cresto (Yes)

**INFORMATION ITEMS**

**ITEM FOUR:** City Council Actions Taken

**ITEM FIVE:** P&Z Commissioner Attendance 2019

Chairman Wilson acknowledged Commissioner Pawlowski’s attendance for 2019 and thanked him for
attending every meeting.

**ITEM SIX:** December 2019 Building Permit Activity Report

**ITEM SEVEN:** Building Permit Five-Year Comparison {2015 thru 2019}

**ITEM EIGHT:** Planning & Zoning Agenda Five-Year Activity Report {2015 thru 2019}

Motion was made by Commissioner Dooley to adjourn the meeting. Seconded by Commissioner Pawlowski. Motion Carried.

Upon roll call, the following votes were:

- Commissioner J. Dooley (Yes)
- Commissioner F. Pawlowski (Yes)
- Commissioner K. Mackenzie-Chavez (Yes)
- Commissioner M. Long (Yes)
- Commissioner J. Cresto (Yes)

Commission Adjourned at 6:45 p.m.

---

**PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION**

__________________________________________

KENT WILSON, CHAIRMAN

**ATTEST:**

__________________________________________

CLYDE (C.B.) STRAIN
SECRETARY TO PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION
10-2-B-a-ii **Single-Family Residential, Detached (SFR)**

The purpose of the Single-Family Residential (SFR) district is to promote and preserve safe, attractive single-family residential neighborhoods. The principal land use consists of single-family dwelling units on individual lots of varying size and dimensions correlating to historical development patterns represented by Types “A”, “B”, and “C” in Table 10-2-4. Limited uses that support single-family development, such as recreational and civic/institutional uses, religious/educational facilities, and uses incidental or accessory to dwellings, are shown in **Table 10-3-1: Use Table**.

10-2-B-a-ii-A **District Specific Standards**

1. A maximum of one (1) principal dwelling structure shall be allowed per lot.

2. A maximum of three (3) accessory structures may be provided if it meets the standards for accessory structures as shown in **Section 10-3-C**.

---

### Table 10-2-4: SFR District Dimensional Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Type</th>
<th>Single-Family Residential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot Standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a Lot area, min.</td>
<td>3,000 sq. ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b Lot frontal, min.</td>
<td>25 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c Lot width, min.</td>
<td>50 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setbacks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d Front, min.</td>
<td>10 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e Side, min.</td>
<td>5 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f Street</td>
<td>10 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g Rear, min.</td>
<td>15 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Standard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h Height, max.</td>
<td>26 ft.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Table 10-2-5: Other Applicable Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standards</th>
<th>10-2-B-d</th>
<th>10-3-B</th>
<th>10-3-C</th>
<th>10-4-A</th>
<th>10-4-B</th>
<th>10-4-C</th>
<th>10-4-D</th>
<th>10-4-E</th>
<th>10-4-F</th>
<th>10-4-G</th>
<th>10-4-H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overlay Districts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permitted Use Table</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use Specific Standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking and Loading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access and Connectivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping and Screening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walls and Fences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrain Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 The front setback may be reduced to a minimum of fifteen (15) feet from the minimum requirements in Table 10-2-4 where the garage is setback twenty-five (25) feet from the front property line (existing standard).

8 The front setback may be reduced to twenty (20) feet where garage or side entry is setback an additional five (5) feet from the minimum requirements in Table 10-2-4.

9 The rear setback may be reduced to ten (10) feet from the minimum requirements in Table 10-2-4 where an open back porch exists. (Existing standard which was reduced from twenty [20] feet to fifteen [15] feet to accommodate smaller lots and residential developments.)

10 The rear setback may be reduced to fifteen (15) feet where an open back porch exists (Existing standard).
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MEMORANDUM

To: Planning and Zoning Commission

From: Clyde (C.B.) Strain, Planning & Development Director

Ref: Case No. CUP2020-00700001, Jennifer M. Bass Short Term Rental Conditional Use Permit, 301 West Victoria Avenue.

BACKGROUND

Ms. Jennifer M. Bass, property owner, has submitted an application to the Planning and Zoning Commission for approval of a conditional use permit (CUP) to operate an accessory Short Term Rental lodging establishment within the Single Family Residential (SFR-A) Zone District. The property is located at 301 West Victoria Avenue, and is more particularly described as Lot 3, Block 11, Bubany Burke Northside Addition.

DISCUSSION

Since a “conditional use” may only be appropriate at certain locations within a particular zoning district and with additional safeguards or conditions to mitigate negative impacts on the surrounding area, it is the Board’s responsibility to exercise proper discretion when granting approval of the proposed use. Use Table 10-3-1 of the City of Gallup Land Development Standards (LDS) lists Short Term Rental Lodging as an Accessory Conditional Use within the Single Family Residential (SFR-A) Zone District. Acceptable grounds for requesting and granting a conditional use permit (CUP) are shown as attachment “A”.

All proposed short term rental lodging establishments are required to comply with the new standards regulating said short term rental use which requires approval of a conditional use permit (CUP) through the Planning and Zoning Commission prior to operation and compliance with the use specific standards for Short Term Rentals contained in Section 10-3-C-g-iii of the City of Gallup Land Development Standards.

Section 10-3-C-g-iii of the City’s Land Development Standards lists use specific standards for Short Term Rental establishments. These standards are as follows:

1. The owner shall pay all applicable local, state, and federal taxes including sales and lodging taxes.

2. The owner is responsible for ensuring the accessory short-term rental meets all applicable local, state, and federal regulations.
3. Parties renting the designated bedroom or unit shall have access to a private or shared full bathroom.

4. Guest stays may only occur within the dwelling unit that the owner or resident manager occupies.

5. Occupancy of the accessory short-term rental shall not exceed the occupancy allowed for single family use as defined in the Municipal Code.

6. In single family residential zone districts, all vehicles associated with the accessory short-term rental use shall be parked in designated parking areas, such as driveways and garages, or on-street parking, where permitted. No parking shall occur on lawns or sidewalks.

The City of Gallup Task Force reviewed this request and had no issues with approval with the exception of the Gallup Fire Department’s requirement for working smoke detectors and a fire extinguisher. A copy of the Gallup Task Force comments are included in your agenda packet for review.

**FINDINGS**

It is the findings of staff that the proposed accessory use Short Term Rental lodging establishment is compatible with the Single Family Residential (SFR-A) Zone District provided all use specific standards for said use are complied with. Staff recommends approval of the conditional use permit contingent upon compliance with the conditions set forth in Resolution No. RP2020-3.
RESOLUTION NO. RP2020-3

A RESOLUTION GRANTING [ ] DENYING [ ] A REQUEST BY MS. JENNIFER M. BASS, PROPERTY OWNER, FOR A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO OPERATE AN ACCESSORY SHORT TERM RENTAL LODGING ESTABLISHMENT WITHIN THE SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (SFR-A) ZONE DISTRICT FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 301 WEST VICTORIA AVENUE

WHEREAS, Ms. Jennifer M. Bass, property owner, has submitted an application to the Planning and Zoning Commission for approval of a conditional use permit (CUP) to operate an accessory Short Term Rental lodging establishment within the Single Family Residential (SFR-A) Zone District for the property located at 301 West Victoria Avenue, and is more particularly described as Lot 3, Block 11, Bubany Burke Northside Addition; and

WHEREAS, Use Table 10-3-1 of the City of Gallup Land Development Standards (LDS) lists Short Term Rental Lodging as an Accessory Conditional Use within the Single Family Residential (SFR-A) Zone District; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-3-C-g-iii of the City’s Land Development Standards lists use specific standards for Short Term Rental establishments. These standards are as follows:

1. The owner shall pay all applicable local, state, and federal taxes including sales and lodging taxes.

2. The owner is responsible for ensuring the accessory short-term rental meets all applicable local, state, and federal regulations.

3. Parties renting the designated bedroom or unit shall have access to a private or shared full bathroom.

4. Guest stays may only occur within the dwelling unit that the owner or resident manager occupies.

5. Occupancy of the accessory short-term rental shall not exceed the occupancy allowed for single family use as defined in the Municipal Code.

6. In single family residential zone districts, all vehicles associated with the accessory short-term rental use shall be parked in designated parking areas, such as driveways and garages, or on-street parking, where permitted. No parking shall occur on lawns or sidewalks.

7. Working smoke alarms and fire extinguisher meeting NFPA 10 and IFC 2015 are required; and
WHEREAS, a public hearing was held by the Planning and Zoning Commission after notice as required by law; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission deems it in the best interest of the public that this application be [ ] GRANTED [ ] DENIED.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF GALLUP, SITTING AS THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT, that:

1. The application, Case #2020-00700001, for a conditional use permit to operate an accessory Short Term Rental lodging establishment within the Single Family Residential (SFR-A) Zone District at the property located at 301 West Victoria Avenue, and is more particularly described as Lot 3, Block 11, Bubany Burke Northside Addition, is hereby [ ] granted [ ] denied.

2. If approved, the proposed accessory Short Term Rental lodging establishment use shall comply with the following conditions:
   a. The owner shall pay all applicable local, state, and federal taxes including sales and lodging taxes.
   b. The owner is responsible for ensuring the accessory short-term rental meets all applicable local, state, and federal regulations.
   c. Parties renting the designated bedroom or unit shall have access to a private or shared full bathroom.
   d. Guest stays may only occur within the dwelling unit that the owner or resident manager occupies.
   e. Occupancy of the accessory short-term rental shall not exceed the occupancy allowed for single family use as defined in the Municipal Code.
   f. In single family residential zone districts, all vehicles associated with the accessory short-term rental use shall be parked in designated parking areas, such as driveways and garages, or on-street parking, where permitted. No parking shall occur on lawns or sidewalks.
   g. Working smoke alarms and fire extinguisher meeting NFPA 10 and IFC 2015 are required.

3. The application, if approved shall pertain only to the conditional use permit now being sought.
4. Approval of the conditional use permit shall become null and void and the conditional use permit may be revoked if any of the conditions of this resolution are violated at any time.

PASSED, ADOPTED AND APPROVED THIS 12TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2020

CITY OF GALLUP, McKinley County

BY: ___________________________
    KENT WILSON, CHAIRMAN
    PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION

ATTEST:

______________________________
Clyde (C.B.) Strain, Planning & Development Director
Secretary to Planning and Zoning Commission
Acceptable grounds for requesting and granting a conditional use permit

1. The use will not have a significant adverse effect on the character and value of adjacent properties or the surrounding neighborhood.

2. The use will not create a hazard, a public nuisance or be injurious to individuals or to the public.

3. The use will not generate undue traffic congestion.

4. The use will not cause noise which is excessive for the particular area.

5. The use will not have a significant adverse effect on the natural environment and attractiveness of an area.

6. The use will not be contrary to the public interest.

7. The applicant will be able to meet any particular requirements specified for such a use in this ordinance and any additional conditions that the Board may impose.

8. The applicant will be able to meet all requirements imposed by applicable state and federal laws and regulations.

9. The use is consistent with the policies and recommendations of the adopted City Master Plan.
APPLICATION FOR A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATION
NAME: Jennifer Bass  PHONE #: 248-535-9757
MAILING ADDRESS: 301 West Victoria Ave.  CITY: Gallup  STATE: NM  ZIP: 87301

APPLICANT INFORMATION:
NAME: Jennifer Bass  PHONE #: 307-8...
MAILING ADDRESS: 301 West Victoria Ave.  CITY:  STATE:  ZIP: 87301
AGENT INFORMATION: (if applicable)
NAME:  PHONE #:  
MAILING ADDRESS:  CITY:  STATE:  ZIP:  
SITE ADDRESS: 301 West Victoria Ave.  Gallup, NM  87301
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: LOT: 3  BLOCK: 11  SUBDIVISION: Bobany-Burke NS Addn

CURRENT ZONING DISTRICT:
- [ ] INDUSTRIAL (I)
- [ ] GENERAL COMMERCIAL (GC)
- [ ] HEAVY COMMERCIAL (HC)
- [ ] MIXED-USE CENTER (MXC)
- [ ] MIXED-USE NEIGHBORHOOD (MXN)
- [ ] MOBILE HOME PARK (MHP)
- [ ] MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL LOW (MFRL)
- [ ] MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL MEDIUM (MFRM)
- [ ] MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL HIGH (MFRH)
- [X] SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL-A (SFR-A)
- [ ] SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL-B (SFR-B)
- [ ] SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL-C (SFR-C)
- [ ] RURAL HOLDING ZONE (RHZ)
- [ ] RURAL RESIDENTIAL (RR)
- [ ] PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD)

DESCRIPTION OF REQUESTED USE:
My home has first floor studio (bedroom/kitchen/bathroom) that I would like to offer as a short term rental through airbnb and directly to visitors/student at the hospital where I work. I appreciate you were unsure of this permit process so I have now researched and intend to be in full compliance!

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS FOR A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT (§ 10-5-B-d-iii-2):
This unit was successfully rented by the original owners without adverse effects on the community. There is designated off street parking to avoid congestion. Renters profiles can be reviewed prior to booking and only respectful individuals without complaints will be accepted. Occupancy limited to 2 adults - no party or social gathering allowed. Hosts will be open only for a short night!

Jennifer Bass
Print Owner's Name

Jennifer Bass
Owner's Signature

1/20/20
Date

Print Applicant's Name

Applicant's Signature

Date

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
PRE-APP. CONFR: 1/10/2020
APPLICATION FEES ACCEPTED BY: N
AMOUNT PAID: $150.00 METHOD OF PAYMENT: Credit Card
HEARING DATE: 2/12/2020  CASE #: 20-00700001

DATE STAMP
JAN 10 2020
CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT - STATE OF COMPLIANCE

Statement of Compliance with the Requirements for Conditional Use Permit (10-4LA2)

I Jennifer Bass, hereby state that my property at 301 Victoria Avenue, Gallup, New Mexico 87301, if granted a conditional use permit will comply with the following:

1. The use will not have a significant adverse effect on the character and value of adjacent properties or the surrounding neighborhood.

2. The use will not create a hazard, a public nuisance or be injurious to individuals or the public.

3. The use will not generate undue traffic congestion.

4. The use will not cause noise which is excessive for the particular area.

5. The use will not have a significant adverse effect on the natural environment and attractiveness of an area.

6. The use will not be contrary to the public interest.

7. I will be able to meet any particular requirements specified for such a use in the Land Development Standards and any additional conditions that the board may impose.

8. I will be able to meet all requirements imposed by applicable state and federal laws and regulations.

9. The use is consistent with the policies and recommendations of the adopted city master plan.

Jennifer Bass

(Signature)

1/8/20

(Date)
BOUNDARY SURVEY OF LOT 3, BLOCK 11
BUBANY-BURKE NORTHSIDE ADDITION

AN ADDITION TO THE CITY OF GALLUP, NEW MEXICO AS THE SAME IS SHOWN AND DESIGNATED ON THE MAP OF SAID ADDITION FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY CLERK OF McKinley County, New Mexico on May 2, 1955.
GALLUP, McKinley County, New Mexico.

NOTES:

1) OWNER: AARON VALLEY & AMY WOOD
DOCUMENT No: 370546; RECORDED: 02/17/2015

2) ADDRESS: 301 WEST VICTORIA AVENUE, GALLUP, NM 87301.

3) FIELD SURVEY PERFORMED: 09/06/2019

4) TITLE COMMITMENT PROVIDED BY FOUR CORNERS TITLE COMPANY, COMMITMENT NO. 1900279,
COMMITMENT DATE: AUGUST 29, 2019
SCHEDULE B - PART II:
ITEM 11: NO DITCHES OR CANALS VISIBLE ON SURVEYED LOT.
ITEM 12: BLANKET EASEMENT NOT PLOTTABLE.
ITEM 13: DOES NOT AFFECT SURVEYED LOT.

5) BEARING REFERENCED TO THE NEW MEXICO STATE PLANE WEST ZONE GRID (OBTAINED PER GPS OBSERVATIONS)
DELTA ALPHA = -00°31'54". DISTANCES ARE GROUND

6) LANDSCAPING, STAIRS, AND PROPANE TANKS NOT SHOWN.

I, Marc A. DePaul, New Mexico Professional Surveyor, do hereby certify that this Boundary Survey Plan was prepared from an actual ground survey performed by me or under my supervision, that I am responsible for this survey, that this survey is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, that this boundary survey plan and the field survey upon which it is based meet the Minimum Standards for Surveying in New Mexico and that the survey is not a Land Division or Subdivision as defined in the New Mexico Subdivision Act. This is a Boundary Survey Plan of an existing tract or tracts.

Marc DePaul
9/23/2019

Prepared by:
DePaul Engineering & Surveying LLC,
Civil Engineers & Land Surveyors,
307 S. 4th Street Gallup New Mexico, 87301
Tel: (505) 635-5440
www.depaulengineering.com

LEGEND

- FOUND 1/2" REBAR & PS4329 CAP, WITH PS13606 WASHER
- FOUND 1/2" REBAR & PS3441 CAP, WITH PS13606 WASHER
- FOUND 1/2" REBAR & PS13979 CAP
- FOUND 1/2" REBAR & PS4329 CAP
- FOUND 1/2" REBAR & PS4329 CAP SET PS13606 WASHER
- CORNER NOT FOUND OR SET
- WOOD FENCE
- CHAINLINK FENCE

NEW MEXICO 13606

RECEIVED
By Nikki Lee at 10:15 am, Jan 08, 2020
Request by Jennifer M. Bass, property owner, for a Conditional Use Permit to allow for a short-term rental as an accessory use to the Single Family Residential (SFR-A) Zone District.

The property is located at 301 West Victoria Avenue; more particularly described as Lot 3, Block 11, Bubany-Burke Northside Addition.

AERIAL IMAGERY
Request by Jennifer M. Bass, property owner, for a Conditional Use Permit to allow for a short-term rental as an accessory use to the Single Family Residential (SFR-A) Zone District.

The property is located at 301 West Victoria Avenue; more particularly described as Lot 3, Block 11, Bubany-Burke Northside Addition.

SITE PHOTOS
January 23, 2020

MEMORANDUM

TO: GALLUP TASK FORCE (GTF)

➢ C.B. Strain, Community Planner
➢ Stan Henderson, Executive Director, Public Works Division
➢ Mike DeClercq, Water/Waste Water Superintendent
➢ Marita Joe, Senior Electrical Engineer
➢ Adrian Marrufo, Solid Waste Director
➢ Jacob LaCroix, GFD, Fire Inspector
➢ Dianne Willato, CenturyLink Engineer
➢ Irma Bustamante, NM Gas Co. Distribution Superintendent
➢ John Ortiz, Comcast Superintendent

FROM: Roman J. Herrera, Development Review Coordinator

cc: Dennis Romero, Water & Wastewater Executive Director
John Wheeler, Electric Director

CASE #: 20-00700001
PROJECT NAME: Conditional Use Permit
PROPERTY OWNER: Jennifer Bass
PROJECT LOCATION: 301 West Victoria Avenue
DESCRIPTION: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT: The property owner would like to operate a "Short Term Rental" business at the location listed above, this property is located in the Single Family Residential "B" (SFR-B) zoning district and this type of use is not allowed without a conditional use permit. The Planning & Zoning Commission will hear the request at their February 12th, 2020 regular meeting any, conditions for approval should be included in staff recommendation to the Commission.

ELECTRIC COMMENTS ARE DUE BY: 31 JANUARY 2020

NO TASK FORCE MEETING HAS BEEN SCHEDULED

COMMUNITY PLANNER COMMENTS:
P1.) Short term rentals is a permitted use within the SFR-B Zone District.

P2.) Short Term Rental use shall comply with all requirements set forth within Section 10-3-C-g-iii “Short Term Rentals” of the City of Gallup Land Development Standards.

P3.) Ok to proceed to public hearing.

CITY ENGINEER COMMENTS: No issues with the CUP for 301 West Victoria Ave.
WATER DEPARTMENT COMMENTS: No comments

WASTEWATER DEPARTMENT COMMENTS: No comments

ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT COMMENTS: No electric issues with CUP.

FIRE DEPARTMENT COMMENTS: Have working smoke alarms and a Fire Extinguisher meeting NFPA 10 and IFC 2015.

SOLID WASTE COMMENTS: No issues with CUP

CENTURYLINK COMMENTS: CenturyLink has no comment on both of the projects.

NM GAS COMPANY COMMENTS: No comments were received from NM Gas.

COMCAST COMMENTS: No comments were received from Comcast.
Request by Jennifer M. Bass, property owner, for a Conditional Use Permit to allow for a short-term rental as an accessory use to the Single Family Residential (SFR-A) Zone District. The property is located at 301 West Victoria Avenue; more particularly described as Lot 3, Block 11, Bubany-Burke Northside Addition.
Request by Jennifer M. Bass, property owner, for a Conditional Use Permit to allow for a short-term rental as an accessory use to the Single Family Residential (SFR-A) Zone District. The property is located at 301 West Victoria Avenue; more particularly described as Lot 3, Block 11, Bubany-Burke Northside Addition.
Request by Jennifer M. Bass, property owner, for a Conditional Use Permit to allow for a short-term rental as an accessory use to the Single Family Residential (SFR-A) Zone District. The property is located at 301 West Victoria Avenue; more particularly described as Lot 3, Block 11, Bubany-Burke Northside Addition.

**ADDRESS LIST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>CITY, STATE</th>
<th>ZIP CODE</th>
<th>ADDRESS DETAILS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>JENNIFER M. BASS</td>
<td>301 W. VICTORIA AVE.</td>
<td>GALLUP, NM</td>
<td>87301</td>
<td>LOT 3, BLK 11, BUBANY-BURKE NS ADDN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R206716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>VICTORIA L. ROHRER</td>
<td>620 N. FOURTH ST.</td>
<td>GALLUP, NM</td>
<td>87301</td>
<td>LOTS 14 &amp; 18, BLK 11, BUBANY-BURKE NORTHSIDE ADDN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R032921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BETTY J. MESTAS</td>
<td>303 VICTORIA AVE.</td>
<td>GALLUP, NM</td>
<td>87301</td>
<td>LOTS 4 &amp; 5, BLOCK 11, BUBANY-BURKE NORTHSIDE ADDN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R021857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>WALTER W. &amp; DAWN P. TYLER, TRUSTEES</td>
<td>2306 MARIYANA AVE.</td>
<td>GALLUP, NM</td>
<td>87301</td>
<td>LOTS 5, 6, 19 &amp; 20, BLOCK 6, BUBANY-BURKE NORTHSIDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ADDN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R036919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>VICTORIA L. ROHRER</td>
<td>307 W. JEFFERSON AVE.</td>
<td>GALLUP, NM</td>
<td>87301</td>
<td>LOTS 21 &amp; 22, BLOCK 6, BUBANY-BURKE NORTHSIDE ADDN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R186112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ROBERT D. &amp; MARYALISON BERRY, TRUSTEES</td>
<td>C/O GERALDINE &amp; ANTONIO HERNANDEZ</td>
<td>GALLUP, NM</td>
<td>87305-0043</td>
<td>N ½ OF LOTS 23 &amp; 24, BLOCK 6, BUBANY-BURKE NORTHSIDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ADDN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R121533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MARY C. VALLEY</td>
<td>701 N. THIRD ST.</td>
<td>GALLUP, NM</td>
<td>87301</td>
<td>THE S ½ OF LOTS 23 &amp; 24 BLOCK 6, BUBANY-BURKE NORTHSIDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ADDN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R021482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>WESTERN UNITED LIFE ASSURANCE CO.</td>
<td>C/O RAMON &amp; MARY LOU GOMEZ</td>
<td>GALLUP, NM</td>
<td>87301</td>
<td>N ½ OF LOTS 1 &amp; 2 BLOCK 11, BUBANY-BURKE NORTHSIDE ADDN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R206674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>MICHAEL A. MADRID</td>
<td>623 N. THIRD ST.</td>
<td>GALLUP, NM</td>
<td>87301</td>
<td>S ½ OF LOTS 1 &amp; 2 BLOCK 11, BUBANY BURKE NORTHSIDE ADDN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R015024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>JAVIER A. &amp; LISA MARQUEZ</td>
<td>1403 PLATEAU DR.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GALLUP, NM 87301
LOT 15 BLOCK 11, BUBANY-BURKE NORTHSIDE ADDN.
R022772

11. RICHARD ACEVEDO
619 N. THIRD ST.
GALLUP, NM 87301
LOT 16, BLOCK 11, BUBANY-BURKE NORTHSIDE ADDN.
R000159

*CORRECT ADDRESS DERIVED FROM THE CITY OF GALLUP GIS MAP*
MEMORANDUM

TO: Planning and Zoning Commission

FROM: Clyde (C.B.) Strain, Planning & Development Director

REF: Case No. REZ2020-006000001: request by JagWest, LLC and the City of Gallup, property owners, for a change in zoning designation from Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) Zone District to Industrial (I) Zone District for certain described real property.

BACKGROUND

JagWest, LLC and the City of Gallup, property owners, have petitioned the Gallup Planning and Zoning Commission for a change in zoning designation from Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) Zone District to Industrial (I) Zone District for certain real property described as Tract 1B and 1C, Tract 2, and the North and South Portion of Tract 1A, Mentmore West Subdivision Unit 1, and two unplatted tracts of land to be described with a metes and bounds descriptions.

DISCUSSION

Subsection 10-5-B-d-i-3a of the City of Gallup Land Development Standards states that amendments to the official zoning map which involve a particular area of land may be made by the Council on its own motion or upon request of the Planning and Zoning Commission, or the person or persons holding fifty one percent (51%) or more of the ownership of the area of land may request the Planning and Zoning Commission to amend the map upon a determination that there are sufficient grounds for the amendment. In this case petitioning property owners, JagWest, LLC, and the City of Gallup, represent 100% ownership of the property proposed for rezone and as such are making the request for a zoning map amendment to the Planning and Zoning Commission.

Subject property is currently zoned Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) Zone District. The purpose for the request for a change in zoning designation from Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) Zone District to Industrial (I) Zone District is to allow industrial development of said properties with the exception of Tract 2 owned by the City of Gallup which contains an existing utility facility.
Section 10-5-B-di2 of the City of Gallup Land Development Standards list Zoning Map Amendment and Text Amendment Criteria. A copy of Section 10-5-B-di2 is included in your agenda packed and marked as “Exhibit A” for your review.

The Gallup Task Force has reviewed this request and all comments submitted apply to future development of said tracts of land. No impacts or additional improvements are required for rezoning.

**FINDINGS**

Section 10-5-B-di2 sets forth criteria for zoning map amendments as shown in exhibit “A”. It is the findings of staff that a rezone is necessary in order allow for subdivision and commercial development which is consistent with surrounding properties, therefore the request meets the following grounds:

1. The land area within a RHZ District designation has become appropriate for urban development because of availability of public utilities and services as well as the needs of the public.

2. The social, economic or environmental interests of the public good would be better served by the proposed district than the existing one.

Staff recommends approval of the zone change request from Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) Zone District to Industrial (I) Zone District for the property as described above and in the metes and bounds description, both of which are contained in Resolution No. RP2020-4.
RESOLUTION NO. RP2020-4

A RESOLUTION [ ] GRANTING [ ] DENYING A REQUEST BY JAGWEST, LLC AND THE CITY OF GALLUP, PROPERTY OWNERS, FOR A CHANGE IN ZONING DESIGNATION FOR CERTAIN DESCRIBED REAL PROPERTY FROM RURAL HOLDING ZONE (RHZ) ZONE DISTRICT TO INDUSTRIAL (I) ZONE DISTRICT.

WHEREAS, JagWest, LLC and the City of Gallup, property owners, have petitioned the Gallup Planning and Zoning Commission for a change in zoning designation from Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) Zone District to Industrial (I) Zone District for certain real property described as Tract 1B and 1C, Tract 2, and the North and South Portion of Tract 1A, Mentmore West Subdivision Unit 1, and two unplatted tracts of land to be described with a metes and bounds descriptions as follows:

A TRACT OF LAND LYING IN A PORTION OF THE ANNEXATION PLAT MENTMORE MEADOWS, SECTION 22, TOWNSHIP 15 NORTH, RANGE 19 WEST, N.M.P.M., GALLUP, MCKINLEY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO AS THE IS SHOW ON THE PLAT FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF MCKINLEY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO ON JULY 24, 1974. BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED WITH NEW MEXICO STATE PLANE WEST ZONE GRID BEARING AND GROUND DISTANCES AS FOLLOWS.

COMMENCING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 22; THENCE N02°31'27"E ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SECTION 22, 180.14' TO THE REAL POINT OF BEGINNING:

THENCE N02°31'27"E ALONG SAID WEST SECTION LINE, 1182.62' TO A POINT;

THENCE N63°19'19"E LEAVING SAID WEST SECTION LINE, 992.68' TO A POINT ON THE WEST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF MENTMORE ROAD;

THENCE S26°41'17"E ALONG SAID WEST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, 1110.26' TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION OF SAID WEST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF MENTMORE ROAD AND NORTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF CHEE DODGE BOULEVARD;

THENCE S63°18'43"W ALONG SAID NORTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, 1292.63' TO A POINT OF CURVATURE;

THENCE ALONG A CURVE THE RIGHT HAVING A RADIUS OF 529.05', LENGTH OF 277.37', DELTA OF 30°02'20", AND CHORD DIMENSIONS OF S78°19'53"W, 274.20' TO A POINT OF TANGENCY;

THENCE N86°38'57"W, 14.31' THE POINT OF BEGINNING;
CONTAINING 33.0174 ACRES; and

WHEREAS, a change in zone designation is being requested in order to allow for industrial development on said tracts of land with the exception of Tract 2 owned by the City of Gallup containing an existing utility facility; and

WHEREAS, Subsection 10-5-B-d-i3a of the City of Gallup Land Development Standards states that amendments to the official zoning map which involve a particular area of land may be made by the Council on its own motion or upon request of the Planning and Zoning Commission, or the person(s) holding fifty one percent (51%) or more of the ownership of the area of land may request the Planning and Zoning Commission to amend the map upon a determination that there are sufficient grounds for the amendment; and

WHEREAS, the petitioning property owners, JagWest, LLC and the City of Gallup, hold one hundred percent (100%) of the ownership of the area of land involved in the proposed zoning map amendment from Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) Zone District to Industrial (I) Zone District for the property as described above; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-5-B-di2 of the Gallup Land Development Standards sets forth minimum criteria for zoning map amendments; and

WHEREAS, it is the findings of staff that the request meets the following grounds for approval:

1. The land area within a RHZ District designation has become appropriate for urban development because of availability of public utilities and services as well as the needs of the public.

2. The social, economic or environmental interests of the public good would be better served by the proposed district than the existing one; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held by the Planning and Zoning Commission after notice as required by law; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission deems it in the best interest of the public that this application be [ ] granted [ ] denied.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF GALLUP, SITTING AS THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT, that:

1. The application, Case #REZ2020-00600001, for a change in zoning designation from Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) Zone District to Industrial (I) Zone District for certain real property as described above is hereby [ ] granted [ ] denied.
2. The rezone if approved is subject to compliance with the regulations of the Industrial (I) Zone District.

3. The rezone pertains only to the properties described herein.

PASSED, ADOPTED AND APPROVED THIS 12TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2020

CITY OF GALLUP, McKinley COUNTY

BY: __________________________________________
KENT WILSON, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION

__________________________
Clyde (C.B.) Strain, Planning & Development Director
Secretary Planning and Zoning Commission
Exhibit “A”

10-5-B-d-i2
Zoning Map Amendment and Text Amendment Criteria

Amendments to the text of the Ordinance are warranted when the provisions of the Ordinance evidence such defects as: ambiguity, omission, inconsistency, obsolescence, inadequacy, excessive stringency or generally fail to serve the public interest. Acceptable grounds for amending the Official Zoning Map are that one (1) or more of the following criteria would be met:

1. There was a mistake in the original mapping of the district for a particular area and the proposed amendment would correct this mistake. In most instances, a mistake would imply that the zoning district which was applied originally did not correspond well to the character of the existing land use in the area.

2. The exact location of a particular zoning district boundary is obscure or irrational or severs a lot area into two (2) or more zoning districts so that it is difficult to develop the lot area properly.

3. Due to changing circumstances of land use in the area, the proposed zoning district would be better suited to the area than the existing zoning district.

4. The land area within a Rural Holding Zone designation has become appropriate for urban development as a result of availability of public utilities and services as well as the needs of the public.

5. The social, economic or environmental interests of the general public good would be better served by the proposed zoning district than the existing one.

6. The proposed change in zoning district boundaries is needed to bring the Official Zoning Map into conformance with changes in the text of the Zoning Ordinance or with the City Master Plan.
APPLICATION FOR REZONING
(ZONE CHANGE)

AMENDMENTS TO THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OR TEXT OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE ARE INITIATED BY APPLICATION TO THE CITY ON PRESCRIBED FORMS. EACH APPLICATION FOR ANY AMENDMENT TO THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY AN ACCURATE PLOT PLAN, SITE PLAN, BUILDING DEVELOPMENT PLAN, SKETCH PLAN, PROGRAM OR DEVELOPMENT, OR OTHER RELATED MATERIAL AND INFORMATION AS REQUIRED BY THE CITY. AFTER AN APPLICATION FOR AN AMENDMENT IS ADVERTISED FOR PUBLIC HEARING BY THE PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION, ANOTHER APPLICATION FOR A CHANGE FOR THE SAME PROPERTY OF THE TEXT MAY NOT BE FILED WITHIN TWELVE (12) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF FINAL ACTION BY THE GOVERNING BODY ON THE APPLICATION.

PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATION:
Name: JagWest LLC
Address: 808 Boardman Drive City: Gallup State: NM Zip Code: 87301
Telephone: 505-999-5255 Fax: ___________________________

APPLICANT INFORMATION:
Name: Rick Murphy
Address: 808 Boardman Drive City: Gallup State: NM Zip Code: 87301
Telephone: 505-999-5255 Fax: ___________________________

SITE ADDRESS: See Attached LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Lot: Block: Subdivision: NL
Other (if not lot and block): 6 vacant lots on Mentmore Rd. (County Rd. 1) & Chee Dodge Blvd. TOTAL SITE ACREAGE: 65.4513 acres (according to surveys)
DESCRIPTION OF REQUESTED USE: Warehouse + Factory

PRESENT ZONING DESIGNATION OF THE LAND: RHZ REQUESTED ZONE CHANGE: __________________________

*PMU District may require submittal of a Master Plan. Check here if Master Plan is included.

REASON(S) FOR THE REQUESTED CHANGE: Change to Industrial Zone so it is similar to existing zoning along the Railroad Track

**ATTACH A SITE PLAN OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT / PROJECT**

JagWest LLC
Print Property Owner’s Name

Signature

Date 1/10/99

Rick Murphy
Print Applicant’s Name

Signature

Date 1/10/20

OFFICE USE ONLY

Pre-App. Confr. Application & Fee Accepted by: NO
Fee Paid: $ 17,000 Method of Payment: Check # 1005
Hearing Date: 2/12/2020 Case #: 20-00600001

RECEIVED DATE STAMP

JAN 13 2020

APPROVED 10 AM 01.06.2017
To whom it may concern:

On behalf of Jagwest, LLC I would like to request the rezoning of 6 tracts from Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) to Industrial (I) zone district. The criteria we qualify under is listed in the City of Gallup Land Development Standards section 10-5-B-d-i2 as:

d. The land area within a RHZ district designation has become appropriate for urban development because of availability of public utilities and services as well as the needs of the public.

e. The social, economic or environmental interests of the public good would be better served by the proposed district than the existing one.

Sincerely,

______________________ ___________
Rick Murphy (Jagwest, LLC) Date

1/22/20

1/22/20
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAX ID</th>
<th>LEGAL</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ZONE</th>
<th>ACERAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R139785</td>
<td>TRACT 1B MM WEST UNIT 1</td>
<td>WEST FRONTAGE</td>
<td>RHZ</td>
<td>4.0264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R139734</td>
<td>PORTION TRACT A UN PLATED 33.0174</td>
<td>WEST FRONTAGE</td>
<td>RHZ</td>
<td>4.4674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R137243</td>
<td>PORTION TRACT A UN PLATED 33.0174</td>
<td>WEST OF WEST FRONTAGE</td>
<td>RHZ</td>
<td>28.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R301302</td>
<td>TRACT 1A MM WEST UNIT 1 26.5448</td>
<td>NORTH PORTION OF 1A SCE 22</td>
<td>RHZ</td>
<td>10.1875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R215975</td>
<td>TRACT 1C MM WEST UNIT 1 1.8627</td>
<td>WEST CORNER SOUTH SEC 27</td>
<td>RHZ</td>
<td>1.8627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R119075</td>
<td>TRACT 1A MM WEST UNIT 1 26.5448</td>
<td>BY RAIL SOUTH SEC 27</td>
<td>RHZ</td>
<td>16.3573</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

JagWest, LLC
6 Tracts
Total Acreage of 65.4513
DESCRIPTION:

A TRACT OF LAND LYING IN A PORTION OF THE ANNEXATION PLAT MENTMORE MEADOWS, SECTION 22, TOWNSHIP 15 NORTH, RANGE 19 WEST, N.M.P.M., GALLUP, MCKINLEY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO AS THE IS SHOW ON THE PLAT FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF MCKINLEY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO ON JULY 24, 1974. BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED WITH NEW MEXICO STATE PLANE WEST ZONE GRID BEARING AND DISTANCE AS FOLLOWS.

COMMENCING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 22; THENCE N02°31’27”E ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SECTION 22, 180.14’ TO THE REAL POINT OF BEGINNING;

THENCE N02°31’27”E ALONG SAID WEST SECTION LINE, 1182.62’ TO A POINT;

THENCE N83°19’19”E LEAVING SAID WEST SECTION LINE, 992.63’ TO A POINT ON THE WEST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF MENTMORE ROAD;

THENCE S26°41’17”E ALONG SAID WEST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, 1110.26’ TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION OF SAID WEST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF MENTMORE ROAD AND NORTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF CHEE DODGE BOULEVARD;

THENCE S63°18’34”W ALONG SAID NORTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, 1292.63’ TO A POINT OF CURVATURE;

THENCE ALONG A CURVE THE RIGHT HAVING A RADIUS OF 529.05’, LENGTH OF 277.37’, DELTA OF 30°02’20”, AND CHORD DIMENSIONS OF S78°19’53”W, 274.20’ TO A POINT OF TANGENCY;

THENCE N08°38’57”W, 14.31’ THE POINT OF BEGINNING;

CONTAINING 33.0174 ACRES ±

Curve Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curve</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Radius</th>
<th>Delta</th>
<th>Chord Bearing</th>
<th>Chord Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>277.37’</td>
<td>529.05’</td>
<td>30°02’20”</td>
<td>S78°19’53”W</td>
<td>274.20’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES:

1) OWNER: TRIPLE J. LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
   BK. 30 DEED, PG. 971, RECORDED: 6-3-1980

2) FIELD SURVEY PERFORMED: NOVEMBER - DECEMBER, 2017

3) TITLE COMMITMENT PROVIDED BY FOUR CORNERS TITLE COMPANY,
   COMMITMENT No. 17100270, EFFECTIVE DATE: DECEMBER 8, 2017
   SCHEDULE B - PART II:
   ITEM 9: NO DITCHES OR CANALS VISIBLE.
   ITEM 10: BLANKET ROADWAY LEASE AGREEMENT NOT PLOTTABLE.
   ITEM 11: EASEMENT SHOWN LABELED CHEE DODGE BOULEVARD.
   ITEM 12: DOES NOT AFFECT THIS SURVEY.
   ITEM 13: DOES NOT AFFECT THIS SURVEY.
   ITEM 14: DOES NOT AFFECT THIS SURVEY.
   ITEM 15: DOES NOT AFFECT THIS SURVEY.

4) BEARING REFERENCED TO THE NEW MEXICO STATE PLANE WEST ZONE
   GRID (OBTAINED PER GPS OBSERVATIONS) DELTA ALPHA= 9°35’15”.
   DISTANCES ARE GROUND

SEE SHEET 2 OF 2
FOR SURVEY & LEGEND

Prepared by:
DePaul Engineering & Surveying LLC.
- Civil Engineers & Land Surveyors -
  357 S. 4th Street Gallup New Mexico, 87301
  Tel: (505) 883-5400
  www.depaulengineering.com

MARC A. DEPAULI
NEW MEXICO
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR
13606

I, Marc A. Depauli, New Mexico Professional Surveyor No. 13606, do hereby certify that this Boundary Survey Plat and the actual survey on the ground upon which it is based were performed by me or under my direct supervision; that I am responsible for this survey; that this survey meets the Minimum Standards for Surveying in New Mexico; and that it is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I further certify that this survey is not a Land Division or Subdivision as defined in the New Mexico Subdivision Act and that this instrument is a Boundary Survey Plat of an existing tract or tracts.

Marc A. Depauli, N.M.P.S. Lic. No. 13606

Dwg. MMW1-4 SHEET 2 OF 2

JAN 13 2020
APPLICATION FOR REZONING
(ZONE CHANGE)

AMENDMENTS TO THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OR TEXT OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE ARE INITIATED BY APPLICATION TO THE CITY ON PRESCRIBED FORMS. EACH APPLICATION FOR ANY AMENDMENT TO THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY AN ACCURATE PLOT PLAN, SITE PLAN, BUILDING DEVELOPMENT PLAN, SKETCH PLAN, PROGRAM OR DEVELOPMENT, OR OTHER RELATED MATERIAL AND INFORMATION AS REQUIRED BY THE CITY. AFTER AN APPLICATION FOR AN AMENDMENT IS ADVERTISED FOR PUBLIC HEARING BY THE PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION, ANOTHER APPLICATION FOR A CHANGE FOR THE SAME PROPERTY OF THE TEXT MAY NOT BE FILED WITHIN TWELVE (12) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF FINAL ACTION BY THE GOVERNING BODY ON THE APPLICATION.

PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATION:
Name: CITY OF GALLUP
Address: PO BOX 1270 City: GALLUP State: NM Zip Code: 87305
Telephone: (505) 863-1220 Fax: 

APPLICANT INFORMATION:
Name: SAME AS PROPERTY OWNER
Address: 
City: State: Zip Code:
Telephone: Fax: 

SITE ADDRESS: 3845 CHEED DODGE BLVD. LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Lot: Block: Subdivision:
Other (if not lot and block): TRACT 2 MENTMORE WEST SUB. UNIT #1 CONTAINING 0.4062 ACRES M/L
TOTAL SITE ACREAGE: 0.4062 ACS DESCRIPTION OF REQUESTED USE: EXISTING WATER LIFT STATION
MENTMORE LIFT STATION
PRESENT ZONING DESIGNATION OF THE LAND: RURAL HOLDING ZONE REQUESTED ZONE CHANGE: INDUSTRIAL (I)
(RHZ)

*PMU District may require submittal of a Master Plan. Check here if Master Plan is included.

REASON(S) FOR THE REQUESTED CHANGE: CHANGE ZONING DESIGNATION TO INDUSTRIAL TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE 6 PROPERTIES BEING REZONED BY JAGWEST, LLC.

**ATTACH A SITE PLAN OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT / PROJECT**

MARYANN USTICK
Print Property Owner’s Name 

Signature 

Date: 1/23/2020

SAME AS PROPERTY OWNER
Print Applicant’s Name

Signature

Date

OFFICE USE ONLY

Pre-App. Confr. Application & Fee Accepted by: 

Fee Paid: $ Method of Payment: N/A

Hearing Date: 2/12/2020 Case #: 20-00000001

[DATE STAMP] JAN 23 2020
Request by JagWest, LLC and the City of Gallup, property owners, for the Rezoning of Tract 1B, 1C and 2, North and South Portion of Tract 1A, Mentmore West Subdivision Unit 1 and Two Unplatted Tracts of Land **FROM** Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) Zone District **TO** Industrial (I) Zone District.

The properties are generally located west of County Road 1 and Chee Dodge Boulevard; Containing a total of 65.8575 acres M/L.

**AERIAL IMAGERY**
Request by JagWest, LLC and the City of Gallup, property owners, for the Rezoning of Tract 1B, 1C and 2, North and South Portion of Tract 1A, Mentmore West Subdivision Unit 1 and Two Unplatted Tracts of Land FROM Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) Zone District TO Industrial (I) Zone District. The properties are generally located west of County Road 1 and Chee Dodge Boulevard; Containing a total of 65.8575 acres M/L.
January 23, 2020

MEMORANDUM

TO: GALLUP TASK FORCE (GTF)

➤ C.B. Strain, Community Planner
➤ Stan Henderson, Executive Director, Public Works Division
➤ Mike DeClercq, Water/Waste Water Superintendent
➤ Marita Joe, Senior Electrical Engineer
➤ Adrian Marrufo, Solid Waste Executive Director
➤ Jacob LaCroix, GFD, Fire Inspector
➤ Dianne Willato, CenturyLink Engineer
➤ Irma Bustamante, NM Gas Co. Distribution Superintendent
➤ John Ortiz, Comcast Superintendent

FROM: Roman J. Herrera

Roman J. Herrera, Development Review Coordinator

cc: Dennis Romero, Water & Wastewater Executive Director
John Wheeler, Electric Director

CASE #: 20-00600001
PROJECT NAME: Re-Zone
PROPERTY OWNER: JAG West, LLC, c/o Richard Murphy & The City of Gallup
PROJECT LOCATION: West of County Rd. 1, beginning at R/R tracks & due north to the City Limits, aka The South Portion of Tract 1A; the North Portion of Tract 1A; Track 1B; Track 1C in the Mentmore West Subdivision Unit 1 & 28.55 Acres M/L In The SW ¼ of Sec. 22, T15N, R19W & 6.06 Acres M/L In The SW ¾ of Sec. 22, T15N, R19W.
City of Gallup Property - Tract 2, Mentmore West Subdivision Unit 1, 4062 Acres.

DESCRIPTION: RE-ZONE: The property owner is requesting that the referenced Tracts be rezoned from Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) to Industrial (I) for future warehouse & factory developments. The rezone request will be heard by the Planning & Zoning Commission at their February 12th, 2020 meeting any conditions for approval should be included in staff recommendation to the Commission.

ELECTRONIC COMMENTS ARE DUE BY: 31 JANUARY 2020

NO TASK FORCE MEETING HAS BEEN SCHEDULED

COMMUNITY PLANNER COMMENTS:

P1.) Request for rezone meets the minimum requirements for a rezone.

P2.) Rezone meets the following criteria:
   - The land area within the RHZ district designation has become appropriate for urban development because of availability of public utilities and services as well as the needs of the public.
   - The social, economic or environmental interests of the public good would be better served by the proposed district than the existing one.
P3.) Ok to proceed to public hearing.

**CITY ENGINEER COMMENTS:** Development will trigger 6' wide ADA compliant sidewalks on the frontage of County Road 1 and 5' wide on Chee Dodge Blvd. Chee Dodge Blvd will also require roadway construction with pavement. Storm drainage plan and improvements will also be required for the property in question.

**WATER DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:** Upon development, water main must be extended north on county Road 1 from Chee Dodge along frontage of property to northern edge of property.

**WASTEWATER DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:** Upon development, sewer main must be extended from manhole in county road 1 along frontage of property to north edge of property.

**ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:** No issues with Re-Zone. Coordinate with Electric Department upon development additional easements and 3ph line extension may be required.

**FIRE DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:** No Fire Department issues with Re-zone. Future construction may require installation of hydrant(s), a sprinkler systems, and/or fire department apparatus access roads. Future code requirements will be construction dependent.

**SOLID WASTE COMMENTS:** No issues with Re-zone. Future requirements per Construction phase.

**CENTURYLINK COMMENTS:** CenturyLink has no comment on both of the projects above.

**NM GAS COMPANY COMMENTS:** The only comment we have is that they not have any permanent structures placed over our service line.

**COMCAST COMMENTS:** No comments were received from Comcast.
Request by JagWest, LLC and the City of Gallup, property owners, for the Rezoning of Tract 1B, 1C and 2, North and South Portion of Tract 1A, Mentmore West Subdivision Unit 1 and Two Unplatted Tracts of Land FROM Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) Zone District TO Industrial (I) Zone District. The properties are generally located west of County Road 1 and Chee Dodge Boulevard; Containing a total of 65.8575 acres M/L.
Request by JagWest, LLC and the City of Gallup, property owners, for the Rezoning of Tract 1B, 1C and 2, North and South Portion of Tract 1A, Mentmore West Subdivision Unit 1 and Two Unplatted Tracts of Land FROM Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) Zone District TO Industrial (I) Zone District. The properties are generally located west of County Road 1 and Chee Dodge Boulevard; Containing a total of 65.8575 acres M/L.
Request by JagWest, LLC and the City of Gallup, property owners, for the Rezoning of Tract 1B, 1C and 2, North and South Portion of Tract 1A, Mentmore West Subdivision Unit 1 and Two Unplatted Tracts of Land FROM Rural Holding Zone (RHZ) Zone District TO Industrial (I) Zone District. The properties are generally located west of County Road 1 and Chee Dodge Boulevard; Containing a total of 65.8575 acres M/L.

**ADDRESS LIST**

1A. JAGWEST, L.L.C.  
808 S. BOARDMAN DR.  
GALLUP, NM 87301  
TRACT 1C, MENTMORE WEST SUB. UNIT 1, CONT. 1.8626 ACRES M/L  
R215975

1B. THE SOUTH PORTION OF TRACT 1A, MENTMORE WEST SUB. UNIT 1 CONT. 16.36 ACRES M/L  
R119075

1C. TRACT 1B, MENTMORE WEST SUB. UNIT 1 CONT. 4.0264 ACRES M/L  
R139785

1D. THE NORTH PORTION OF TRACT 1A, MENTMORE WEST SUB. UNIT 1, CONT. 10.1855 ACRES M/L  
R301302

1E. 6.06 ACRES M/L SEC. 22 T15N R19W, IN SW ¼ OF W. OF COUNTY ROAD 1  
R139734

1F. 28.55 ACRES M/L IN SW ¼ OF SEC. 22 T15N R19W  
R137243

2. TRACT B, MENTMORE EAST UNIT 1  
R139793

3. TRACT A, MENTMORE EAST UNIT 1  
R139696

4. TRACT B, MENTMORE EAST UNIT 5  
R139807

5. TEME, INC.  
306 COUNTY ROAD ONE  
GALLUP, NM 87301  
TRACT C, MENTMORE EAST UNIT 5  
R652075

6. TRACT D, MENTMORE EAST UNIT 5  
R139815

7. DMS REALTY LLC  
4321 YALE NE  
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87107  
TRACT F-1, REPLAT OF TRACT F, MENTMORE EAST UNIT 4  
R648825

8. BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY  
2650 LOU MENK DRIVE  
FORT WORTH, TX 76131  
DESCRIPTION: RAILROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY
9. WINFIELD INVESTMENTS, LLC  
P.O. BOX 307  
VANDERWAGEN, NM 87326-0307  
TRACT 1B, REPLAT OF TRACT 1 TOMADA PROPERTIES ADDN., COCA COAL SUB.  
CONT. 6.2913 ACRES M/L  
R648256

10. MARTEL MARKETING, LLC  
3601 W. HWY 66  
GALLUP, NM 87301  
4.744 ACRES M/L IN NW ¼ OF SEC. 27 T15N R19W A/K/A SHELTON ADDN.  
R066613

11. ORIN & SHANNON SOWERS  
P.O. BOX 2933  
GALLUP, NM 87305-2933  
LOT 2, SITUATED IN THE N ½ OF SEC. 27 T15N R19W DURANTE ADDN., CONT. 4.60 ACS M/L  
R060801
Summary of City Council Actions

January 2020

January 14th, 2020:

CASE # 19-00900001: City initiated request to amend Title 10 “Land Development Standards” of the Municipal Code of the City of Gallup Section 10-2-B-a “Residential”; Subsections 10-2-B-a-i-A2, 10-2-B-a-ii-A2, 10-2-B-a-iii-A1b and 10-2-B-a-iv-A2b. Amend the maximum number of accessory structures allowed within the Rural Residential, Single-Family Residential, Multi-Family Residential Low and Multi-Family Residential Medium Zone Districts from one (1) to three (3) accessory structures.

City Council approved the Text Amendment (Ordinance No. S2020-1).

January 28th, 2020:

CASE # 20-00900001: City initiated request to amend Title 10 “Land Development Standards” of the Municipal Code of the City of Gallup Section 10-2-B-a “Residential” and Section 10-2-B-c “Non-Residential”; Tables 10-2-2, 10-2-4, 10-2-6, 10-2-8, 10-2-19 and 10-2-21. Add side street yard requirements for corner lots within the Rural Residential (RR), Single-Family Residential (SFR-A, B & C), Multi-Family Residential Low (MFRL), Multi-Family Residential Medium (MFRM), General Commercial (GC) and Heavy Commercial (HC) Zone Districts. Establish ten foot (10’) side street yard setbacks for SFR-A & B, MFRL, MFRM and HC and fifteen foot (15’) side street yard setbacks for RR and SFR-C and five foot (5’) side street yard setbacks for GC.

City Council approved the Text Amendment (Ordinance No. S2020-2).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>NUMBER OF PERMITS</th>
<th>VALUATION</th>
<th>NUMBER OF PERMITS</th>
<th>VALUATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Single Family Dwellings</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Additions / Alterations</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 113,600.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 113,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Storage Shed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$ 7,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$ 7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Garages &amp; Carports</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Site Permits</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Commercial Buildings</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Additions / Alterations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$ 150,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$ 150,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Storage Shed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Garages &amp; Carports</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Site Permits</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Churches</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Additions / Alterations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-Stucco / Siding / Decks</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Asphalt / Asphalt Overlay / Sealcoat</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavation / Grading</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Apartments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartment Additions / Alterations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotels / Motels</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Repair</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$ 181,701.00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$ 181,701.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 3,200.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 3,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fence / Retaining Wall</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 11,675.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 11,675.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Only - Charge</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Only - No Charge</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deck</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Permits &amp; Valuation</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$ 467,176.00</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$ 467,176.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Permit Fees</strong></td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 4,291.18</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 4,291.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CITY OF GALLUP
### PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
### MONTHLY COMPILED OF PERMITS ISSUED
### JANUARY 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE ISSUED</th>
<th>PERMIT NO.</th>
<th>OWNER / BUSINESS</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>SITE ADDRESS</th>
<th>PERMIT TYPE</th>
<th>PERMIT FEES</th>
<th>VALUATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2/20</td>
<td>19 - 300</td>
<td>CASH PROPERTIES</td>
<td>AIR PROS HEATING &amp; COOLING, LLC</td>
<td>2405 FUHS AVENUE</td>
<td>COMMERCIAL ALTERATIONS</td>
<td>$ 1,450.38</td>
<td>$ 150,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/7/20</td>
<td>19 - 303</td>
<td>LAUREN BONTE</td>
<td>MERRILL FENCE COMPANY, LLC</td>
<td>1735 CAMINO DEL SOL</td>
<td>FENCE PERMIT</td>
<td>$ 93.30</td>
<td>$ 2,450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/14/20</td>
<td>19 - 289</td>
<td>NM COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS</td>
<td>COMMERCIAL ROOFING, LLC</td>
<td>106 W. AZTEC AVENUE</td>
<td>COMMERCIAL RE-ROOFING</td>
<td>$ 628.50</td>
<td>$ 91,201.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/14/20</td>
<td>20 - 001</td>
<td>ST. PAUL MISSIONARY CHURCH</td>
<td>BONAGUIDI CONSTRUCTION, LLC</td>
<td>512 N. 11TH STREET</td>
<td>DEMOLITION</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/14/20</td>
<td>20 - 002</td>
<td>FRANK COLAIANNI</td>
<td>BONAGUIDI CONSTRUCTION, LLC</td>
<td>220 W. PRINCETON AVENUE</td>
<td>DEMOLITION</td>
<td>$ 84.75</td>
<td>$ 2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/15/20</td>
<td>20 - 005</td>
<td>PHILIBERT PABLOTO</td>
<td>TUFFSHEDE, INC.</td>
<td>1703 HELENA DRIVE</td>
<td>RESIDENTAL STORAGE SHED</td>
<td>$ 130.50</td>
<td>$ 7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/22/20</td>
<td>20 - 003</td>
<td>TARA &amp; TARA</td>
<td>GALLUP ROOFING, INC.</td>
<td>913 W. AZTEC AVENUE</td>
<td>COMMERCIAL RE-ROOFING</td>
<td>$ 144.00</td>
<td>$ 12,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/22/20</td>
<td>20 - 008</td>
<td>SALVADOR O. CHAIDEZ</td>
<td>DIAZ RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION, LLC</td>
<td>1712 CALLE PINON</td>
<td>RESIDENTAL ALTERATIONS</td>
<td>$ 29.00</td>
<td>$ 1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/24/20</td>
<td>20 - 007</td>
<td>DIALA GALLUP, LLC</td>
<td>MERRILL FENCE COMPANY, LLC</td>
<td>919 US HIGHWAY 491</td>
<td>FENCE PERMIT</td>
<td>$ 172.00</td>
<td>$ 9,225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/30/20</td>
<td>20 - 009</td>
<td>SIX D INC.</td>
<td>GALLUP ROOFING, INC.</td>
<td>1120 E. HISTORIC HIGHWAY 66</td>
<td>COMMERCIAL RE-ROOFING</td>
<td>$ 565.90</td>
<td>$ 78,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/30/20</td>
<td>20 - 010</td>
<td>ROBERT HAMBLEM</td>
<td>DIAZ RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION, LLC</td>
<td>1602 PONY CIRCLE</td>
<td>RESIDENTAL ALTERATIONS</td>
<td>$ 993.25</td>
<td>$ 112,400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTALS:** $ 4,291.18 $ 467,176.00

|   2  | RESIDENTIAL ADDITIONS / ALTERATIONS |
|   1  | RESIDENTIAL STORAGE SHED            |
|   1  | COMMERCIAL ADDITIONS / ALTERATIONS  |
|   3  | ROOF REPAIR                         |
|   2  | DEMOLITION                          |
|   2  | FENCE / RETAINING WALL              |

11 TOTAL PERMITS